

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/748,599	1	2/29/2003	Keith Moll	2292	8678
28005	7590	03/13/2006		EXAMINER	
SPRINT 6391 SPRIN	JT PARKW	JAY	HOLLIDAY, JAIME MICHELE		
KSOPHT0101-Z2100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
OVERLAND PARK, KS 66251-2100				2686	

DATE MAILED: 03/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/748,599	MOLL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jaime M. Holliday	2686				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 December 2003.						
,	<del>-</del>					
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to	r election requirement					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) $oxtimes$ The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 December 2003</u> is/are: a) $oxtimes$ accepted or b) $oxtimes$ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal I	Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	. 0, _ 0					

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed January 5, 2006, with respect to **claim 33**, on page 20 second and third paragraphs of the remarks, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See In re Fine, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and In re Jones, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, Applicant argues that Karaoguz et al. relates to identifying a user to the location of wireless hotspots for connecting with a local area network, while Lamb et al., Sayers '237, and Sato et al. relate to methods of enabling communications between mobile switching centers or base stations. The Examiner respectively disagrees with Applicant's arguments, because the primary reference, Lamb et al. clearly provides a method for providing telecommunication services (abstract), and Karaoguz et al. clearly provides a method for determining services (abstract). Both references are concerned with providing services. Therefore, in view of the above reasons, the Examiner upholds the rejection of clam 33.

Application/Control Number: 10/748,599 Page 3

Art Unit: 2686

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to **claims 1-32 and 34-37** have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection based on the inclusion of limitation "the private-wireless network is a wireless local area network," in claim 1 and the addition of claim 37.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was

not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

6. Claims 1, 7-9, 22-26 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lamb et al. (U.S. Patent # 6,697,620 B1) in view of Jagadeesan et al. (Pub # U.S. 2005/0059400 A1).

Consider claim 1, Lamb et al. clearly show and disclose a method for providing seamless, wireless telecommunication services to customers that move between disparate networks. A Universal Location Service Register (ULSR) communicates and provides mobility management and authentication functions across networks that use different protocols. When a user roams into a network other than the user's home network and requests registration at an MSC in the serving network, the MSC notifies the ULSR that the user has requested registration. The ULSR determines whether the user can be registered at the serving MSC, and if so, authorizes the registration. The ULSR also manages feature and service interactions for customers. For instance, the ULSR may determine, based on the users profile, whether a user is subscribed to call waiting or call forwarding service, reading on the claimed "method of providing telecommunication services to a mobile-subscriber terminal roaming in a wireless telecommunication network, comprising: the mobile subscriber terminal roaming into a private-wireless network from a public-wireless network to which it subscribes, wherein the networks use different communications formats for

communications with the mobile subscriber terminal; registering the mobile subscriber terminal on the wireless network; retrieving roaming-agreement information from a roaming-agreement database; determining roaming rules, from the roaming agreement information, for operating the mobile subscriber terminal in the private-wireless network; and the private wireless network providing to the mobile-subscriber terminal services in accordance with the roaming rules," (column 2 lines 47-53, 64- column 3 line 3 and lines 16-20).

However, Lamb et al. do not specifically disclose that the user roams from a public-wireless network to a private-wireless network.

In the same field of endeavor, Jagadeesan et al. clearly show and disclose a method for handing off a call of a mobile station from a wireless local area network (WLAN) to a cellular network and vice versa. A communication system for handing off a call between a cellular network 14 and a wireless local area network (WLAN) 16, includes the cellular network that comprises a public network and the WLAN comprises a private network, reading on the claimed "wherein the private-wireless network is a wireless-local area network," (paragraphs 8 and 14).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a system for handing off calls from a WLAN to a cellular network as taught by Jagadeesan et al. in the method of Lamb et al. in order to provide seamless, wireless telecommunication service to customers, reading on the claimed "mobile-subscriber terminal."

Consider claim 7, Lamb et al., as modified by Jagadeesan et al., disclose the claimed invention as applied to claim 1 above, and in addition, Lamb et al. further disclose the registration procedure begins when the roaming mobile phone in the territory of network A, reading on the claimed "private-wireless network," realizes it can no longer communicate with network B, reading on the claimed "public-wireless network." When this happens, the roaming terminal requests 205 registration from an MSC 2300 in network A and sends information identifying itself, such as a Mobile Serial Number (MIN) or an Electronic serial Number (ESN), reading on the claimed "first identifier," to the MSC of network A. The MSC notifies 210 the ULSR 1000 that the mobile phone has requested registration in network A and sends the ULSR information identifying the mobile phone. The ULSR then retrieves 215 from its database 1200 the user profile associated with the mobile phone and determines 220 whether the mobile phone can be registered in network A, reading on the claimed "the mobile-subscriber terminal is identified by a first identifier when operating in the public-wireless network, and wherein the application uses the first identifier when querying the roaming-agreement database for the roaming-agreement information associated with the mobile subscriber terminal," (figures 2a-2c, column 4 line 54- column 5 line 2).

Consider claim 8, Lamb et al., as modified by Jagadeesan et al., disclose the claimed invention as applied to claim 1 above, and in addition, Lamb et al. further disclose the roaming terminal requesting registration from an MSC in

Application/Control Number: 10/748,599

Art Unit: 2686

network A and sending information identifying itself using an International Mobile Subscriber Identity (IMSI), reading on the claimed "first identifier," to the MSC of network A, reading on the claimed "the mobile-subscriber terminal is identified by a first identifier when operating in the private-wireless network, and wherein the application uses the first identifier when querying the roaming-agreement database for roaming-agreement information associated with the mobile subscriber terminal," (figures 2a-2c, column 4 lines 57-61).

Page 7

Consider claim 9, Lamb et al., as modified by Jagadeesan et al., disclose the claimed invention as applied to claim 8 above, and in addition, Lamb et al. further disclose the roaming terminal requesting registration from an MSC in network A and sending information identifying itself using a MIN or IMSI, reading on the claimed "first identifier," to the MSC of network A, reading on the claimed "the mobile-subscriber terminal is further identified by a second identifier when operating in the public-wireless network, and wherein the application uses the first or second identifier when querying the roaming-agreement database for roaming-agreement information associated with the mobile subscriber terminal," (figures 2a-2c, column 4 lines 57-61).

Consider claim 22, Lamb et al., as modified by Jagadeesan et al., disclose the claimed invention as applied to claim 1 above, and in addition, Lamb et al. further disclose when a user roams into a network other than the user's home network and requests registration at an MSC in the serving network, the MSC notifies the ULSR that the user has requested registration. The ULSR

determines whether the user can be registered at the serving MSC, and if so, authorizes the registration, reading on the claimed "the roaming-agreement information comprises a registration protocol, and further comprising the mobile-subscriber terminal registering in the private-wireless network according to the registration protocol, wherein the mobile-subscriber terminal registers before being served communications," (column 2 line 64- column 3 line 3).

Consider claim 23, Lamb et al., as modified by Jagadeesan et al., disclose the claimed invention as applied to claim 22 above, and in addition, Lamb et al. further disclose the USLR has access to a database that stores information about subscribers to the networks 1100 serviced by the ULSR, where the information in the database enables the ULSR to provide mobility management and authentication functions for all the networks that the ULSR supports. Such information may include the location at which the user is currently registered, the user's home network, a list of networks to which a user is authorized to access, and data for authenticating the user, reading on the claimed "the registration protocol comprises a protocol selected from the group consisting of an authorization protocol, an authentication protocol, an accounting protocol, and a combination of any of the authorization, authentication accounting protocols," (column 4 lines 33-41).

Consider claim 24, Lamb et al., as modified by Jagadeesan et al., disclose the claimed invention as applied to claim 22 above, and in addition, Lamb et al. further disclose the ULSR determines whether the user can be

simultaneously registered in both networks A and B. If not, the ULSR sends a message to the MSC **2400** in network B at which the mobile phone was previously registered, canceling the registration of the mobile phone in network B, reading on the claimed "registration protocol comprises a notification protocol, and further comprising notifying the public-wireless network when the mobile-subscriber terminal registers in the private-wireless network," (column 5 lines 13-18).

Consider **claim 25**, Lamb et al., as modified by Jagadeesan et al., disclose the claimed invention **as applied to claim 24 above**, and in addition, Lamb et al. further disclose routing a call to a mobile phone user who has a home network but is registered in another network (serving network). The user's home MSC receives a call for a user that it has determined is not currently registered at the home MSC. The home MSC sends a message to the ULSR for a number to route a call. The ULSR determines the MSC that the user is currently registered at, then sends a request to the serving MSC for a routing number associated with that MSC. The ULSR then forwards the routing number to the home MSC and the home MSC routes the call to the serving MSC, reading on the claimed "public-wireless network relaying communications for the mobile-subscriber terminal to the private-wireless network," (figures 5a-5c, column 7 lines 5-25).

Consider claim 26, Lamb et al., as modified by Jagadeesan et al., disclose the claimed invention as applied to claim 24 above, and in addition,

Page 10

Lamb et al. further disclose routing a call to a mobile phone user who has a home network but is registered in another network (serving network). The user's home MSC receives a call for a user that it has determined is not currently registered at the home MSC. The home MSC sends a LocationRequest message to the ULSR for a number to route a call. The ULSR determines the MSC that the user is currently registered at, then sends a PROVIDE\_ROAMING\_NUMBER message to the serving MSC for a routing number associated with that MSC. The ULSR then forwards the routing number to the home MSC and the home MSC routes the call to the serving MSC, reading on the claimed "public-wireless network relaying communications for the mobile-subscriber terminal to the private-wireless network," (figures 5a-5c, column 7 lines 5-25, lines 34-48).

Consider claim 37, Lamb et al. clearly show and disclose a method for providing seamless, wireless telecommunication services to customers that move between disparate networks. A Universal Location Service Register (ULSR) communicates and provides mobility management and authentication functions across networks that use different protocols. When a user roams into a network other than the user's home network and requests registration at an MSC in the serving network, the MSC notifies the ULSR that the user has requested registration. The ULSR determines whether the user can be registered at the serving MSC, and if so, authorizes the registration. The ULSR also manages feature and service interactions for customers. For instance, the ULSR may

determine, based on the users profile, whether a user is subscribed to call waiting or call forwarding service. When a call is received for the user at an MSC in the user's home network, the home MSC sends a request for the routing number to the ULSR, which retrieves the user's profile and determines that the user us registered at the serving MSC. The ULSR sends a request for the routing number to the serving MSC, and once the serving MSC provides the routing number, the ULSR sends it to the home MSC, reading on the claimed "method of providing telecommunication services to a mobile-subscriber terminal roaming in a wireless telecommunication network, the method comprising: registering a mobile subscriber terminal on a network; identifying a network to which the mobile subscriber terminal subscribes, wherein the networks use different communications formats for communications with the mobile subscriber terminal retrieving roaming-agreement information from a roaming-agreement database; and providing communications services to the mobile-subscriber terminal in accordance with the roaming-agreement information," (column 2 lines 47-53, 64- column 3 line 3-20).

However, Lamb et al. do not specifically disclose that the user roams from a public-wireless network to a private-wireless network.

In the same field of endeavor, Jagadeesan et al. clearly show and disclose a method for handing off a call of a mobile station from a wireless local area network (WLAN) to a cellular network and vice versa. A communication system for handing off a call between a cellular network 14 and a wireless local area

network (WLAN) **16**, includes the cellular network that comprises a public network and the WLAN comprises a private network, reading on the claimed "public wireless network and private wireless network," (paragraphs 8 and 14).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a system for handing off calls from a WLAN to a cellular network as taught by Jagadeesan et al. in the method of Lamb et al. in order to provide seamless, wireless telecommunication service to customers, reading on the claimed "mobile-subscriber terminal."

7. Claims 2, 3 and 27-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lamb et al. (U.S. Patent # 6,697,620 B1) in view of Jagadeesan et al. (Pub # U.S. 2005/0059400 A1), and further in view of Sato et al. (Pub # U.S. 2002/0061766 A1).

Consider **claim 2**, and **as applied to claim 1 above**, Lamb et al., as modified by Jagadeesan et al., clearly show and disclose the claimed invention except that the roaming-agreement database is managed by a private-wireless network device that periodically updates the database.

In the same field of endeavor, Sato et al. clearly show and disclose a base station for use in a multi-network connection communication system and its connecting method, wherein the base station is connectable simultaneously to a carrier network and a private network. The base station comprises a section for making a decision as to whether a terminal is to be connected to a carrier

network or a private network. The base station also comprises a user registration management section **104** for managing the registration of a mobile station that uses the base station as a private network, and therefore, it is inherent that it is update when user registration changes, reading on the claimed "the roaming-agreement database is managed by a private-wireless network device, and further comprising the private-wireless network device periodically updating roaming-agreement information in the roaming-agreement database," (abstract, figure 8, paragraph 75).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made allow the private network use the base station, reading on the claimed "network device managing the roaming agreement database," as taught by Sato et al. in the method of Lamb et al., as modified by Jagadeesan et al., in order to provide seamless, wireless telecommunication service to customers, reading on the claimed "mobile-subscriber terminal."

Consider **claim 3**, and **as applied to claim 1 above**, Lamb et al., as modified by Jagadeesan et al., clearly show and disclose the claimed invention except that the roaming-agreement database is managed by a public-wireless network device, and that a private-network device periodically updates the database.

In the same field of endeavor, Sato et al. clearly show and disclose a base station for use in a multi-network connection communication system and its

connecting method, wherein the base station is connectable simultaneously to a carrier network and a private network. The base station comprises a section for making a decision as to whether a terminal is to be connected to a carrier network or a private network. The base station also comprises a user registration management section **104** for managing the registration of a mobile station that uses the base station as a private network, and therefore, it is inherent that it is update when user registration changes, reading on the claimed "the roaming-agreement database is managed by a private-wireless network device, and further comprising the private-wireless network device periodically updating roaming-agreement information in the roaming-agreement database," (abstract, figure 8, paragraph 75).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made allow the private network use the base station, reading on the claimed "network device managing the roaming agreement database," as taught by Sato et al. in the method of Lamb et al., as modified by Jagadeesan et al., in order to provide seamless, wireless telecommunication service to customers, reading on the claimed "mobile-subscriber terminal."

Consider claim 27, and as applied to claim 1 above, Lamb et al., as modified by Jagadeesan et al., clearly show and disclose the claimed invention except that the roaming-agreement database includes a call state protocol and a private-network device collects call state information.

In the same field of endeavor, Sato et al. clearly show and disclose a base station for use in a multi-network connection communication system and its connecting method, wherein the base station is connectable simultaneously to a carrier network and a private network. The base station comprises a traffic supervisory section 116 for monitoring the traffic for billing purposes and a user registration management section for managing the registration of a mobile station that uses the base station as a private network. A billing system for the multi-network connection communication system could be a monthly charge system in accordance with the traffic volume, reading on the claimed "roaming-agreement information comprises a call-state protocol, and further comprising the private-wifeless-network device collecting call-state information for the mobile-subscriber terminal according to the call-state protocol while the mobile-subscriber terminal operates in the private-wireless network," (abstract, figure 8, paragraph 57).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to collect traffic volume information "reading on the claimed call-state," for billing purposes as taught by Sato et al. in the method of Lamb et al., as modified by Jagadeesan et al., in order to provide seamless, wireless telecommunication service to customers, reading on the claimed "mobile-subscriber terminal."

Consider claim 28, the combination of Lamb et al. and Sayers et al., as modified by Jagadeesan et al., disclose the claimed invention as applied to claim 27 above, and in addition, Sato et al. further disclose the traffic

supervisory section transmitting the traffic information to the carrier network, so that an existing billing center can issue a billing to the user, reading on the claimed "private-wireless-network device sending to the public-wireless network the call-state information, wherein the public-wireless network is operable to use the call-state information for billing purposes," (paragraph 57).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to transmit the traffic information "reading on the claimed call-state," to the carrier network for billing purposes as taught by Sato et al. in the method of Lamb et al., as modified by Jagadeesan et al., in order to provide seamless, wireless telecommunication service to customers, reading on the claimed "mobile-subscriber terminal."

Consider claim 29, the combination of Lamb et al. and Sayers et al., as modified by Jagadeesan et al., disclose the claimed invention as applied to claim 27 above, and in addition, Sato et al. further disclose a billing system such as a monthly charge system in accordance with the traffic volume, reading on the claimed "call-state information comprises at least call duration information," (paragraph 57).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a charge system in accordance with the traffic volume information "reading on the claimed call-state," as taught by Sato et al. in the method of Lamb et al., as modified by Jagadeesan et al., in

order to provide seamless, wireless telecommunication service to customers, reading on the claimed "mobile-subscriber terminal."

Consider claim 30, and as applied to claim 1 above, Lamb et al., as modified by Jagadeesan et al., clearly show and disclose the claimed invention except that the roaming-agreement database is managed by a private-wireless network device that periodically updates the database.

In the same field of endeavor, Sato et al. clearly show and disclose a base station for use in a multi-network connection communication system and its connecting method, wherein the base station is connectable simultaneously to a carrier network and a private network. The base station comprises a user registration management section for managing the registration of a mobile station that uses the base station as a private network. It further comprises means for making a decision as to whether a terminal is to be connected to a carrier network or a private network, and means for connecting the terminal to the carrier network or the private network in accordance with the decision result, reading on the claimed "roaming-agreement information comprises a location-based-service protocol, and further comprising the application using the location-based-service protocol to determine a location-based service provider for the mobile-subscriber terminal when the mobile subscriber terminal is operating in the private-wireless network," (figure 8 and paragraph 8).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to determine a location-based service

provider as taught by Sato et al. in the method of Lamb et al., as modified by Jagadeesan et al., in order to provide seamless, wireless telecommunication service to customers, reading on the claimed "mobile-subscriber terminal."

Consider claim 31, the combination of Lamb et al. and Sayers et al., as modified by Jagadeesan et al., disclose the claimed invention as applied to claim 30 above, and in addition, Sato et al. further disclose the multi-network connection communication system comprises at least a base station, a mobile station and a network. The network is a private network, reading on the claimed "location-based-service protocol specifies that the private-wireless network is the location-based service provider," (paragraph 38).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to determine a location-based service provider that is a private network as taught by Sato et al. in the method of Lamb et al., as modified by Jagadeesan et al., in order to provide seamless, wireless telecommunication service to customers, reading on the claimed "mobile-subscriber terminal."

Consider claim 32, the combination of Lamb et al. and Sayers et al., as modified by Jagadeesan et al., disclose the claimed invention as applied to claim 30 above, and in addition, Sato et al. further disclose the multi-network connection communication system comprises at least a base station, a mobile station and a network. The network is a carrier network provided by a carrier such as a mobile switching network/mobile packet network, reading on the

claimed "location-based-service protocol specifies that the public-wireless network is the location-based service provider," (paragraph 38).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to determine a location-based service provider that is a carrier network as taught by Sato et al. in the method of Lamb et al., as modified by Jagadeesan et al., in order to provide seamless, wireless telecommunication service to customers, reading on the claimed "mobile-subscriber terminal."

8. Claims 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Lamb et al. (U.S. Patent # 6,697,620 B1) and Jagadeesan et al. (Pub # U.S. 2005/0059400 A1) in view of Sato et al. (Pub # U.S. 2002/0061766 A1), and further in view of Lee (Pub # U.S. 2001/00468660 A1).

Consider claim 4, and as applied to claim 3, the combination of Lamb et al. and Jagadeesan et al., as modified by Sato et al., clearly show and disclose the claimed invention except that the public-wireless network populated a local database in the private-wireless network.

In the same field of endeavor, Lee clearly shows and discloses a BTS (Base station Transceiver Subsystem) that provides both public and private mobile communication services. In the public mobile communication network, the HLR has a subscriber function and a database function for storing the subscriber information, and the VLR has a database function for temporarily

storing information about the mobile station existing in the cell managed by the corresponding mobile switching centers (MSC). If the mobile station moves to a cell managed by another MSC, the corresponding information stored in the VLR is deleted, reading on the claimed "the public-wireless network device periodically populates the local database with the roaming-agreement information," (abstract, paragraph 19).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to update roaming-agreement information using a BTS that can provide public mobile communication service as taught bye Lee in the method of Lamb et al. in combination with Jagadeesan et al. and modified by Sato et al. in order to provide seamless, wireless telecommunication service to customers, reading on the claimed "mobile-subscriber terminal."

Consider **claim 5**, and **as applied to claim 3**, the combination of Lamb et al. and Jagadeesan et al., as modified by Sato et al., clearly show and disclose the claimed invention except that the public-wireless network populated a local database in the private-wireless network.

In the same field of endeavor, Lee clearly shows and discloses a BTS (Base station Transceiver Subsystem) that provides both public and private mobile communication services. In the public mobile communication network, the HLR has a subscriber function and a database function for storing the subscriber information, and the VLR has a database function for temporarily storing information about the mobile station existing in the cell managed by the

Application/Control Number: 10/748,599

Art Unit: 2686

corresponding mobile switching centers (MSC). If the mobile station moves to a cell managed by another MSC, the corresponding information stored in the VLR is deleted, reading on the claimed "the public-wireless network device periodically populates the local database with the roaming-agreement information," (abstract, paragraph 19).

Page 21

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to update roaming-agreement information using a BTS that can provide public mobile communication service as taught bye Lee in the method of Lamb et al. in combination with Jagadeesan et al. and modified by Sato et al. in order to provide seamless, wireless telecommunication service to customers, reading on the claimed "mobile-subscriber terminal."

Consider **claim 6**, the combination of Lamb et al, Jagadeesan et al. and Sato et al., as modified by Lee, disclose the claimed invention **as applied to claim 5 above**, and in addition, Lamb et al. further disclose the user roaming from a home network into a serving network and requesting registration at the serving MSC, reading on the claimed "the step of the mobile-subscriber terminal roaming into the private-wireless network comprises the mobile-subscriber terminal registering in the private-wireless network," (column 2 lines 64-66).

9. Claims 10-11 and 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lamb et al. (U.S. Patent # 6,697,620 B1) in view of Jagadeesan et

al. (Pub # U.S. 2005/0059400 A1), and further in view of Sayers et al. (U.S. Patent # 6,687,243).

Consider claim 10, and as applied to claim 1 above, Lamb et al., as modified by Jagadeesan et al., clearly show and disclose the claimed invention except that the roaming-agreement information includes a routing protocol.

In the same field of endeavor, Sayers et al. clearly show and disclose a communication system that includes first and second wireless networks that use different protocols for communications in connection with mobile units. The system includes a connection unit 29 for interconnecting the first and second wireless networks. The connection unit can use any convenient switching or routing apparatus for directing calls from one mobile station to another. In one embodiment, the cell router 34 in the connection unit is an IP router that uses IP addresses for routing calls among the P\_BTSs 27 or for routing calls through the H.323 gateway 42 to the public wireless network, reading on the claimed "roaming-agreement information includes a routing protocol, and further comprising the application using the routing protocol to selected one of a plurality of communication paths to use for serving communications to the mobile-subscriber terminal," (column 5 lines 2-6, column 12 lines 36-42)

Therefore it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate a router as taught by Sayers et al. in the method of Lamb et al., as modified by Jagadeesan et al., in

order to provide seamless, wireless telecommunication service to customers, reading on the claimed "mobile-subscriber terminal."

Consider **claim 11**, the combination of Lamb et al. and Jagadeesan et al., as modified by Sayers et al., disclose the claimed invention **as applied to claim 10 above**, and Sayers et al. further disclose the cell router functions to switch and/or route mobile traffic to and from mobile stations, reading on the claimed "the routing protocol comprises routing logic for serving communications to the mobile-subscriber terminal in the private-wireless network whenever the mobile-subscriber terminal is in the private-coverage area," (column 12 lines 58-59).

Therefore it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate a router as taught by Sayers et al. in the method of Lamb et al., as modified by Jagadeesan et al., in order to provide seamless, wireless telecommunication service to customers, reading on the claimed "mobile-subscriber terminal."

10. Claims 12-14 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Lamb et al. (U.S. Patent # 6,697,620 B1) and Jagadeesan et al. (Pub # U.S. 2005/0059400 A1) in view of Sayers et al. (U.S. Patent # 6,687,243), and further in view of Sato et al. (Pub # U.S. 2002/0061766 A1).

Consider claim 12, and as applied to claim 11 above, the combination of Lamb et al. and Jagadeesan et al., as modified by Sayers et al., disclose the

claimed invention except that the routing protocol routes communications through the public-wireless network to a user in a private-wireless network.

In the same field of endeavor, Sato et al. clearly show and disclose a base station for use in a multi-network connection communication system and its connecting method, wherein the base station is connectable simultaneously to a carrier network and a private network. A "private entity" owns a base station simultaneously connectable to both the private network and carrier network, thus offering public services of the carrier using the private base station, reading on the claimed "the routing protocol further comprises routing logic for routing communications through the public-wireless network whenever the mobile-subscriber terminal is in the private-coverage area," (abstract and paragraph 71).

Therefore it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to communicate using public services as taught by Sato et al. in the method of Lamb et al. and Jagadeesan et al., as modified by Sayers et al., in order to provide seamless, wireless telecommunication service to customers, reading on the claimed "mobile-subscriber terminal."

Consider claim 13, the combination of Lamb et al., Jagadeesan et al. and Sayers et al., as modified by Sato et al., disclose the claimed invention as applied to claim 12 above, and in addition, Lamb et al. further disclose a user roams from a network other than its home network, reading on the claimed "the

public-wireless network comprises a home network of the mobile-subscriber terminal," (column 2 lines 64-65).

Consider **claim 14**, the combination of Lamb et al., Jagadeesan et al. and Sayers et al., as modified by Sato et al., disclose the claimed invention **as applied to claim 12 above**, and in addition, Lamb et al. further disclose a method for registering a mobile phone in a network A where the mobile phone was previously registered in a network B, reading on the claimed "the publicwireless network comprises a network in which the mobile-subscriber terminal was last served," (column 2 lines 50-54).

Consider claim 21, and as applied to claim 10 above, the combination of Lamb et al. and Jagadeesan et al., as modified by Sayers et al., disclose the claimed invention except that the routing protocol routes communications through the public-wireless network to a user in a private-wireless network.

In the same field of endeavor, Sato et al. clearly show and disclose a base station for use in a multi-network connection communication system and its connecting method, wherein the base station is connectable simultaneously to a carrier network and a private network. A "private entity" owns a base station simultaneously connectable to both the private network and carrier network, thus offering public services of the carrier using the private base station, reading on the claimed "the routing protocol further comprises routing logic for routing communications through the public-wireless network whenever the mobile-subscriber terminal is in the private-coverage area," (abstract and paragraph 71).

Application/Control Number: 10/748,599

Art Unit: 2686

Therefore it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to communicate using public services as taught by Sato et al. in the method of Lamb et al. and Jagadeesan et al., as modified by Sayers et al., in order to provide seamless, wireless telecommunication service to customers, reading on the claimed "mobile-subscriber terminal."

Page 26

11. Claims 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Lamb et al. (U.S. Patent # 6,697,620 B1) and Jagadeesan et al. (Pub # U.S. 2005/0059400 A1) in view of Sayers et al. (U.S. Patent # 6,687,243), and further in view of Sayers et al. (U.S. Patent # 6,539,237).

Consider claim 15, the combination of Lamb et al. and Jagadeesan et al., as modified by Sayers et al., disclose the claimed invention as applied to claim 11 above, except that the WLAN interfaces to a transport network.

In the same field of endeavor, Sayers et al. clearly show and disclose a communication system formed by a private network that includes a private wireless network. The communication system also includes a public wireless network. The communication system permits users to operate freely in both public and private wireless networks using standard mobile stations (col. 7 lines 16-52). Sayers et al. further disclose a range of calls from and to mobile stations in the private network, using standard Internet Protocols, extends over the Internet in public networks to any Internet facility, reading on the claimed "the

private-wireless network interfaces to a transport network, and wherein the routing protocol further comprises routing logic for routing communications through the transport network whenever the mobile-subscriber terminal is in the private-coverage area," (column 10 lines 20-24).

Therefore it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to allow calls to use Internet protocol, reading on the claimed "transport network," as taught by Sayers et al. in the combination of Lamb et al. and Jagadeesan et al., as modified by Sayers et al., in order to provide seamless, wireless telecommunication service to customers, reading on the claimed "mobile-subscriber terminal."

Consider **claim 16**, the combination of Lamb et al., Jagadeesan et al. and Sayers et al., as modified by Sayers et al., disclose the claimed invention **as applied to claim 15 above**, and in addition, Sayers et al. further disclose a public wireless network using a public wireless protocol such the Internet, reading on the claimed "the transport network comprises the Internet," (column 7 lines 20-22).

Therefore it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to allow calls to use Internet protocol as taught by Sayers et al. in the combination of Lamb et al. and Jagadeesan et al., as modified by Sayers et al., in order to provide seamless, wireless telecommunication service to customers, reading on the claimed "mobile-subscriber terminal."

Consider claim 17, the combination of Lamb et al., Jagadeesan et al. and Sayers et al., as modified by Sayers et al., disclose the claimed invention as applied to claim 15 above, and in addition, Sayers et al. further disclose that in order to provide external PSTN or public wireless network interconnection, an H.323 gateway is provided, which provides line interface and transcoding functions that allow the voice and data traffic to be sent to existing networks, reading on the claimed "the transport network comprises the Internet, wherein the communications comprise data communications, and wherein the routing logic is operable to route the data communications through the Internet," (column 11 lines 37-42).

Therefore it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a gateway to send voice and data traffic to existing networks as taught by Sayers et al. in the combination of Lamb et al. and Jagadeesan et al., as modified by Sayers et al., in order to provide seamless, wireless telecommunication service to customers, reading on the claimed "mobile-subscriber terminal."

Consider **claim 18**, the combination of Lamb et al., Jagadeesan et al. and Sayers et al., as modified by Sayers et al., disclose the claimed invention **as applied to claim 15 above**, and in addition, Sayers et al. further disclose that in order to provide external PSTN or public wireless network interconnection, an H.323 gateway is provided, which is part of the normal VoIP LAN-PSTN operation, reading on the claimed "the transport network comprises the Internet,

wherein the communications comprise voice communications, and wherein routing logic is operable to route the voice communications through the Internet using a voice-over-packet protocol.," (column 11 lines 37-42).

Page 29

Therefore it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a VoIP operation as taught by Sayers et al. in the combination of Lamb et al. and Jagadeesan et al., as modified by Sayers et al., in order to provide seamless, wireless telecommunication service to customers, reading on the claimed "mobile-subscriber terminal."

Consider **claim 19**, the combination of Lamb et al. and Jagadeesan et al., as modified by Sayers et al., disclose the claimed invention **as applied to claim**11 **above**, except that communications are routed through a transport network.

In the same field of endeavor, Sayers et al. clearly show and disclose a communication system formed by a private network that includes a private wireless network. The communication system also includes a public wireless network. The communication system permits users to operate freely in both public and private wireless networks using standard mobile stations (col. 7 lines 16-52). Sayers et al. further disclose a P-BTS Virtual Private Network (VPN) 34 formed by the P-BTSs interconnects to GSM PLM 26 through hub 23 and router 33 to the Internet 24, reading on the claimed "the routing protocol further comprises routing logic for routing communications through the transport network

Application/Control Number: 10/748,599

Art Unit: 2686

and not the public-wireless network whenever the mobile-subscriber terminal is in the private-coverage area," (figure 15, column 24 lines 27-30).

Therefore it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to allow calls to use Internet protocol, reading on the claimed "transport network," as taught by Sayers et al. in the combination of Lamb et al. and Jagadeesan et al., as modified by Sayers et al., in order to provide seamless, wireless telecommunication service to customers, reading on the claimed "mobile-subscriber terminal."

Consider claim 20, the combination of Lamb et al. and Jagadeesan et al., as modified by Sayers et al., disclose the claimed invention as applied to claim 11 above, except that the WLAN and the cellular communication cells are not mutually exclusive.

In the same field of endeavor, Sayers et al. clearly show and disclose a communication system formed by a private network that includes a private wireless network. The communication system also includes a public wireless network. The communication system permits users to operate freely in both public and private wireless networks using standard mobile stations (col. 7 lines 16-52). Sayers et al. further disclose that the private cells typically cover a portion of the area covered by on or more of the cells of the wireless network, reading on the claimed "wherein the public-wireless network serves communications in a first-coverage area, wherein the first-coverage area and private-coverage area are not mutually exclusive, and wherein the routing

protocol comprises routing logic for serving communications to the mobilesubscriber terminal is the private-wireless network whenever the mobilesubscriber terminal is in the private-coverage area," (figure 1 and column 7 lines 24-26).

Therefore it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement roaming between private and public cells that overlap as taught by Sayers et al. in the combination of Lamb et al. and Jagadeesan et al., as modified by Sayers et al., in order to provide seamless, wireless telecommunication service to customers, reading on the claimed "mobile-subscriber terminal."

12. Claim 33 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination Lamb et al. (U.S. Patent # 6,697,620 B1) and Jagadeesan et al. (Pub # U.S. 2005/0059400 A1) in view of Sato et al. (Pub # U.S. 2002/0061766 A1), and in further in view of Karaoguz et al. (Pub # U.S. 2004/0203890 A1).

Consider claim 33, and as applied to claim 30 above, the combination of Lamb et al. and Jagadeesan et al., as modified by Sato et al., clearly show and disclose the claimed invention except that a third-party-location-based service provider is the location-based service provider.

In the same field of endeavor, Karaoguz et al. clearly show and disclose a process of determining locations of and services offered by wireless hotspots.

One candidate for offering these services is telephone and wireless telephone

providers, where those providers already provide cellular and other wireless telephone data services to their users. Following a similar model as that used for mobile telephones, access to wireless hotspots can be coordinated through wireless telephone service providers, with the wireless telephone service providers assisting in authenticating of users, monitoring of usage, and billing of users. One candidate for offering these services is telephone and wireless telephone providers, where those providers already provide cellular and other wireless telephone data services to their users. Following a similar model as that used for mobile telephones, access to wireless hotspots can be coordinated through wireless telephone service providers, with the wireless telephone service providers assisting in authenticating of users, monitoring of usage, and billing of users, reading on the claimed "location-based-service protocol specifies that a third-party-location-based-service provider is the location-based-service provider," (abstract, paragraphs 28 and 29).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a third party offer services as taught by Karaoguz et al. in the method of Lamb et al. and Jagadeesan et al., as modified by Sato et al., in order to provide seamless, wireless telecommunication service to customers, reading on the claimed "mobile-subscriber terminal."

Application/Control Number: 10/748,599

Art Unit: 2686

13. Claims 34 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lamb et al. (U.S. Patent # 6,697,620 B1) in view of Jagadeesan et al. (Pub # U.S. 2005/0059400 A1), and further in view of Teicher (Pub # U.S. 2004/0242208 A1).

Page 33

Consider claim 34, and as applied to claim 1 above, Lamb et al., as modified by Jagadeesan et al., clearly show and disclose the claimed invention except that the roaming-agreement information includes a cost protocol.

In the same field of endeavor, Teicher clearly shows and discloses a system and method for payment for mobile communication service over commercial public networks. A mobile customer is attempting to use mobile telephone 103 in an area where mobile network operator 105 has no agreements for providing local service. A service provider 301 operating a base station 303 establishes contact with mobile telephone, and determines mobile network operator has activated that mobile telephone. Service provider realizes that without a pre-existing billing arrangement with mobile network operator, it is impossible to charge for services furnished. Service provider, like service provider 201, has no familiarity with mobile customer 101. But unlike service provider, however, service provider has no agreement for the payment of fees and charges. Consequently, service provider is unable to provide services to mobile customer, and hence a mobile telephony session 305 is denied, reading on the claimed "roaming-agreement information comprises a cost protocol, and further comprising the application using the cost protocol to determine whether to provide service to the roaming mobile-subscriber terminal," (abstract, figure 3, paragraph 16).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to cost to determine if a mobile telephony session may be permitted with a mobile telephone from another mobile network operator as taught by Teicher in the method of Lamb et al., as modified by Jagadeesan et al., in order to provide seamless, wireless telecommunication service to customers, reading on the claimed "mobile-subscriber terminal."

Consider claim 34, the combination of Lamb et al. and Jagadeesan et al., as modified by Teicher, disclose the claimed invention as applied to claim 34 above, and in addition, Teicher further discloses that financial payment involves a financial institution 405 associated with service provider, and a financial institution 407 associated with mobile customer 101. Financial institution 405 and financial institution 407 are in general different financial institutions, but because they are established in accordance with the financial industry, they are able to interact and process financial transactions between them via a pre-existing banking channel 415, reading on the claimed "the cost protocol comprises an agreed-upon cost for a given service," (figure 4 and paragraph 23).

14. Claim 36 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Lamb et al. (U.S. Patent # 6,697,620 B1) and Jagadeesan et al. (Pub

# U.S. 2005/0059400 A1) further in view of Teicher (Pub # U.S. 2004/0242208 A1), and further in view of Armbruster et al. (Pub # U.S. 2003/0100303 A1).

Consider claim 36, and as applied to claim 34 above, the combination of Lamb et al. and Jagadeesan et al., as modified by Teicher, clearly show and disclose the claimed invention except that the cost protocol comprises a negotiable rate.

In the same field of endeavor, Armbruster et al. clearly show and disclose a distributed home location register (DHLR) that authorizes a roaming services agreement electronically for a user in a foreign network. Communication between the DHLRs 102-104 and the foreign network 120 also allows the user of the DHLR 102, for example, to customize the billing clearinghouse functions, allowing the user to negotiate the most advantageous billing method (e.g. credit card, prepaid credit, debit account, etc.), reading on the claimed "the cost protocol comprises a negotiable rate for a given service," (abstract, paragraph 19).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made allow a user to negotiate a rate for services as taught by Armbruster et al. in the method of Lamb et al. and Jagadeesan et al., as modified by Teicher, in order to provide seamless, wireless telecommunication service to customers, reading on the claimed "mobile-subscriber terminal."

#### Conclusion

15. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jaime M. Holliday whose telephone number is (571) 272-8618. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 7:30am to 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Feild can be reached on (571) 272-4090. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/748,599

Art Unit: 2686

Page 37

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

EDAN ORGAD
PATENT EXAMINER/TELECOMM.

Jaime Holliday

Patent Evaminer